

The Awfully Elusive German Language: In Search of a Property Theory of Mid and Back Continuants

John H.G. Scott and Isabelle Darcy
Indiana University, Department of Second Language Studies

German mid and back continuants [s ʃ ʒ ç x χ ʀ h], especially alternation of [ç] and [x/χ] due to dorsal fricative assimilation (DFA), are well-known in German linguistic research; e.g., [11]. Given the prominence of German as a foreign language in the United States [3], their representation and perception are of interest to research in second language (L2) phonology.

Research on mid and back continuants arises in numerous fields. Phonetic investigations comparing these sounds to each other [7, 9] or to similar sounds in other languages, e.g., [2], are rare and not exhaustive--often, [h] and other back fricatives are omitted. Psycholinguistic (e.g., phoneme detection, [10]) and neurolinguistic (e.g., event-related potentials, [8]) studies provide evidence that German native speakers (NS) are sensitive to violations of DFA in auditory stimuli; however, due to differences in task design, divergent results, and dialectal variation [4], the psychological status of this alternation remains unclear. Characterization of the representation of /h/ as a consonant also differs between theories, including lack of phonological Place, Pharyngeal Place, or either (not both), with implications for *all* back continuants [1, 5, 6].

Results of a [t]-detection experiment with nonwords featuring fricatives [ç x h] in a (C)CV_t frame (e.g., [gaxt gəçt], *[gaçt gəxt], and *[gaht gəht]) are reported for NSs and early L2 adult learners. Surprisingly, NSs showed no consistent processing effect in response to violations of DFA and only a marginal trend toward slower reaction time (RT) for [h] appearing illicitly in syllable codas. In contrast, L2 learners showed a marginal trend toward faster RT for DFA violations and robustly slower RT for Coda-[h]. These results and previous theoretical and experimental research informs specific recommendations for future L1 and L2 German research.

References

- [1] Davis, S., & Cho, M.-H. (2003). The distribution of aspirated stops and /h/ in American English and Korean: an alignment approach with typological implications. *Linguistics*, 41, 607–652.
- [2] Delattre, P. (1971). Pharyngeal features in the consonants of Arabic, German, Spanish, French, and American English. *Phonetics*, 23, 129–155.
- [3] Ecke, P. (2011). The state of German in the United States: A statistical portrait and a call for teachers. *German as a Foreign Language*, 12(2), 55–83.
- [4] Hall, T. A. (2014). Alveolopalatalization in Central German as markedness reduction. *Transactions of the Philological Society*, 112, 143–166. doi: 10.1111/1467-968X.12002
- [5] Lombardi, L. (2002). Coronal epenthesis and markedness. *Phonology*, 19, 219–251.
- [6] Rose, S. (1996). Variable laryngeals and vowel shortening. *Phonology*, 13, 73–117.
- [7] Shadle, C. H. (1990). Articulatory-acoustic relationships in fricative consonants. In W. J. Hardcastle, & A. Marchal (Eds.), *Proceedings of Speech Production and Speech Modeling*, 187–209.
- [8] Steinberg, J., Truckenbrodt, H., & Jacobsen, T. (2011). Phonotactic constraint violations in German grammar are detected in auditory speech processing: a human event-related potentials study. *Psychophysiology*, 48, 1208–1216.
- [9] Stevens, P. (1960). Spectra of fricative noise in human speech. *Language & Speech*, 3, 32–49.
- [10] Weber, A. (2001). *Language-specific listening: The case of phonetic sequences*. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Ponsen & Looijen.
- [11] Wiese, R. (1996). *The phonology of German*. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.